

WASHINGTON STATE SEX OFFENDER RISK LEVEL CLASSIFICATION REVISED 1999

NAME:		AGENCY	' :
DATE OF BIRTH OF OFFEND	ER:	DSHS/D0	OC #:
COMPLETED BY:		DATE RE	EVIEWED:
RELEASE DATE:		MAX DA	TE:
PART I: RISK ASSESSMENT 1. Number of sex/sex related of the control of the contr	convictions: ations, and adult gross convictions)01	6. Use of force in sex/sex r (most severe across all garan areas and severe) a. None	convictions)1 authority2
2. Number of felony conviction (exclude sex/sex related constant and sex sex related constant and sex sex sex related constant and sex sex sex related constant and sex	onvictions): 0 2	7. Total number of victims of a. Noneb: One	1 4
Actual #:	Score:	Actual #:	Score:
3. Other sex/sex related <u>arresersulting in conviction (exclusion for sex found guilty):</u> a. None	ude charges where offender	d. 13 to 15 years; offer	<u>all</u> that apply):22 ider not five years1
4. Age at first sex/sex related adjudication:	conviction or	e. 16 or older	2
a. 24 or olderb. 20 to 23c. 19 or younger	2	Add a through e:	Score:
Actual #:	Score:	SUBTOTAL 1 - 8:	
Use or threat of weapon in (most severe across all column a. None present	nvictions): 0 ng offense4		

THIS PAGE WILL BE REMOVED

ATTACHMENT 2 POLICY 350.200 ATTACHMENT 2 POLICY 350.250 DOC 05-729 (03/99) Page 2 of 4

9.	Other character apply:	ristics of <u>all</u> offense(s), circle all that	Complete only 15a. or 15b.
		up4	15a. (Adult) Employment history pattern:
	b. Duration of	offense more than three hours	a. Full time employed 0
		4	b. Student, retired, disabled/unable work
		sported forcefully to another location 4	1 c. Part-time, seasonal/unstable or in need
	d. Victim tortu	ıre/mutilated4	of additional employment2
		pply0	d. Unemployed or history of Unemployment
Ad	d a through e:	Score:	4
			Score:
10.		al offending history: (beginning with first	
	offense)		15b. (Juvenile) Early school history pattern
		one0	(Grades K through 6 only):
		years1	a. Generally satisfactorily0
	c. Five years	or more3	 b. Moderate adjustment problems/multiple
			disciplinary actions and/or suspensions
		Score:	1
			c. Severe adjustment problems/frequent
11	Any folony com	mittad unan pravious release	disciplinary actions and/or one permanent
11.		mitted upon <u>previous</u> release	expulsion2
		/secure facility/halfway house:	d. Multiple expulsions/chronic inability to
		able	be maintained in the school setting4
		12 months after release2	o
		2 months after release4	Score:
		x months after release6	3core
	e. Less than t	three months after release8	
			16. Presence of multiple paraphilias, check <u>all</u> that apply:
		Score:	Fetishism Exhibitionism
			Pedophilia Frotteurism/Frottage
12	. Alcohol/drug us	se pattern	Voyeurism Sexual Sadism
		or to most recent conviction):	Zoophilia Sexual Masochism
		ence with functioning0	Coprophilia Telephone Scatologia
		I abuse; some disruption of	Urophilia Rape NOS
		2	Urophilia
		buse; serious disruption of	
		4	a. None0
	ranotioning		b. One2
		•	c. Two or three4
		Score:	d. Four or more6
			e. Offender is under 16 years0
13.	Prior sex offen	der treatment/programming:	
	a. No involver	ment in sex offender treatment	Score:
	prior to cur	rent offense0	
	b. Involvemen	nt in sex offender treatment	
	prior to cur	rent offense6	
	•		SUBTOTAL 9 - 16:
		Score:	30B101AL 9 - 16
11	Number of sign	nificant/marital relationships:	
14.			
		age of 250	
		after the age of 250	
		our after the age of 251	
		re after the age of 252	
	e. None and a	age 25 or older3	

Score: ____

The remaining items refer to the offender's CURRENT term of incarceration:

17.	Rea. b. c.	•
		Score:
18.	Ag a. b. c.	e at release from institution/confinement: 30 or older
		Score:
19.	Dis a. b. c.	Scipline history while incarcerated (most serious): No major discipline reports
		Score:
20.		nemical dependency treatment during current arceration: Not recommended/unknown
		Score:
21.	Se a. b. c. d.	x offender treatment during <u>current</u> incarceration: Not recommended/unknown
		Score:

PART II: OTHER NOTIFICATION CONSIDERATIONS

- A. Victim(s) of the <u>non-familial</u> sex conviction(s) were Particularly <u>vulnerable</u> or incapable of resistance due to Physical or mental disability or ill health. **Y** / **N**
- B. Sex conviction(s) was/were of a predatory nature or the non-familial offender used a position of community trust, (i.e., coach, teacher, group leader, or police officer), or professional relationship to facilitate the commission of non-familial sex offense(s).

 Y / N
- C. Offender continued to act out his/her sexual deviancy during incarceration. ${\bf Y}$ / ${\bf N}$

D. Adult male offender has a RRASOR score of 4 to 6

Υ	1	Ν
Y	1	r

Rapid Risk Assessment for Sexual Offense
Recidivism (RRASOR)

Recidivisiii (RRASOR)		
1.	Prior sex offenses (not including a. Noneb. 1 conviction; 1-2 charges c. 2-3 convictions; 3-5 charges d. 4 or more convictions; 6 + ch	0 1 2
Ac	tual #:	Score:
2.	Age at release (current age): a. More than 25 b. Less than 25	0 1
Ac	tual #:	Score:
3.	Victim gender: a. Only femalesb. Any males	
		Score:
4.	Relationship to victim: a. Only relatedb. Any non-related	0 1
		Score:
RR	RASOR TOTAL:	

Total Notification Considerations: _____

SUBTOTAL 17 - 21: ____

PART III: DEPARTURE LANGUAGE

The risk level is calculated from aggregating the risk facts and other standard notification considerations is "presumptive" because the Department/Committee may depart from it if special circumstances warrant. The ability to depart is premised on a recognition that an objective instrument, no matter how well designed, will not fully capture the nuances of every case. Not to allow for departures would therefore deprive the Department/Committee of the ability to exercise sound judgment and to apply its expertise to the offender. Of course, were there to be a departure in every case, the objective instrument would be of minimal value. The expectation is that the instrument will result in the proper classification in most cases so that departures will be the exception not the rule.

Scientific literature demonstrates that intrafamilial sex offenders pose a lower risk of recidivism than other types of sex offenders. Additionally, the group of persons to whom they pose a risk upon release (judged by the identity of their past victim (s)) is very small compared with other offenders. Therefore, in order to effectuate the goal of the notification statute, offenders who are strictly intrafamilial offenders will presumptively be classified as Risk Level I.

Generally, the Department/Committee may not depart from the presumptive risk level unless it concludes that there exists an aggravating or mitigating factor of a kind, or to a degree, not otherwise adequately taken into account by the guidelines. Circumstances that may warrant a departure cannot by their nature be comprehensively listed in advance. The departure must be justified in writing and have the support of the majority of Department/Committee members.

Subtotals 1 – 8:	
Subtotals 9 – 16:	
Subtotals 17 – 21:	
Grand Total =	
Total Number of Notification Considerations:	
Level 1 = Assessment score 46 or less and no notification considerations. Level 2 = Assessment score 46 or less and/or 1 - 2 notification considerations. Level 3 = Assessment score 46 or less and/or 3 or 4 notification considerations, or assessment	score 47 or higher.
RISK LEVEL:	_
DEPARTURE JUSTIFICATION:	
ESRC CHAIR OR DESIGNATED AGENCY STAFF:	
AGENCY WITH JURISDICTION:	

FINAL RISK LEVEL (circle one only): I, II, III

Note: If the Risk Level by the ESRC is different from the final Risk Level, the departure justification of this form must be completed.

DOC 05-729 (03/99) Page 5 of 4 ATTACHMENT 2