

PCJJ Raise the Age Workgroup

Co-Leads: Drew Hill and Heidi Sadri
September 11, 2024 | 2-4 PM | Microsoft Teams

This meeting will be recorded.

Agenda

- 2:00 – Welcome & Introductions**
- 2:30 – Intro: Evans Policy Innovation Collaborative**
- 2:45 – Subgroup Reports**
- 3:10 – Comparing Raise the Age & Hybrid Approaches**
- 3:45 – Next Steps**
- 4:00 – Conclude**

To turn on captioning in Teams:
Click **More > Language & Speech > Turn on live captions**

Introductions & Check-In

Name

Pronouns (optional)

Role/organization

Check-in question

Technical Assistance: Evans Policy Innovation Collaborative (EPIC)

Asset Mapping

What services and supports are currently available to youth and emerging adults (EAs) who are involved in or at risk of becoming court-involved?
What is their existing capacity for serving EAs?

How would existing services be impacted if juvenile court jurisdiction was expanded to include EAs?

What state investment would be needed to fully fund the expansion of services and resources to effectively serve EAs?

Engage stakeholders including lived experts, service providers, and community members.

Data collection: Population served, type of services, geographic service area, service capacity, cost of services

Benefit-Cost Analysis

If juvenile court jurisdiction (and associated programmatic/service alternatives) were expanded to include EAs, what new costs would be incurred?

What costs would be avoided?

What are the likely life course impacts on individual EAs?

What are the likely nonmonetary benefits of serving EAs in the juvenile system?

Subgroup Reports

Community-Based Services Subgroup

August Meeting

Evans Policy Innovation Collaborative (EPIC) asset mapping project

Community as the default response and service provider

- As we envision the landscape shifting towards keeping youth and emerging adults in the community, what does the community need to be able to serve those young people?
- Indigenous communities as models

Diversion

- Expanding eligibility, access, resourcing, program types
- Reducing barriers and geographic disparities

Charging & Sentencing Subgroup

August Meeting

Training and resources for judicial officers and lawyers

- Emerging adult brain science, RTA framework
- Developmentally appropriate conditions unique to EAs

RCW

- Diversion eligibility
- Sentencing grid
- Juvenile points

Highlight drivers of disparity in outcomes

Engage tribal experts and representatives

Court Services & Detention Subgroup

August Meeting

Resourcing and readiness for developmentally appropriate services

- Investment in community-based service providers already serving EAs
- JPC training about development and unique needs/goals/opportunities
- Opportunity to deliver special education to EAs

Infrastructure

- Detention spaces
- Risk & needs assessment tool (PACT) applicability

Adult criminal vs. juvenile court due process differences

Juvenile Rehabilitation Subgroup

August Meeting

What would it look like to fully invest in JR to 25?

- Tailored programming aligned with EA goals/needs
- Capacity to deliver programming
- Funding and access for CBOs

Staffing capacity

Healthy and supportive conditions/environment

Oversight, accountability, enforcement, monitoring specific to EA population

Raise the Age and Hybrid Approaches

Presentation: Lael Chester & Selen Siringil Perker, Emerging Adult Justice Project

Group Discussion

Next Steps

October Subgroup Meetings

Next Full Workgroup Meeting:
Wednesday, November 13, 2024 | 2 PM

Policy Studies website | Contact: heidi.sadri@dcyf.wa.gov
Sign up to receive updates on the PCJJ Policy Studies