

Provider Supports Subcommittee

Temporary Licensing Subcommittee Recommendation Tracker

April 12, 2023

Tra	anslation, Interpreta	tion, and	Language Access			
	Recommendation	Priority Items for ELAC or PS Work Plan	DCYF Accepted? (yes/no/maybe)	<u>lf Yes - How</u> <u>If No/Maybe - Why</u>	Legislative Action Required? (RCW and/or Funding)	Implementation <u>Timeline</u>
1	There should be a process where providers who don't speak any of those specified languages can request translated information and documents in their preferred language.	ELAC	Yes	 DCYF agrees this is an area for improvement/partnership. DCYF has current budget request/decision package in with Governor's Office https://www.dcyf.wa.gov/sites/default/files/pubs/GC_0034.pdf DCYF is also drafting a language access plan report and can share when final. Licensing is awaiting budget decisions to make plans for quality assurance/improvement projects related to improving language access supports. 	Yes - funding	
2	The Licensing Division should	<mark>PS</mark>	Maybe	Licensing	Yes - funding	



Washington State Department of

	CHILDREN, YOUTH & FAMILIES
--	----------------------------

	engage providers as experts in creating a tool, in place of the current checklist, that can be translated and used as a trial run with incoming providers and providers who would like more clarity on what is expected during monitoring visits.		The checklist <u>is</u> WAC language, so we cannot create anything "in place of the checklist" without going through the process of changing WAC. However, if the need is for additional resources that describe the monitoring process, then we have additional questions as to what kind of document would be useful (e.g. one-pager?) Licensing would like to explore the costs and discuss the challenge of finding and ensuring quality translations the Guidebook (recommendation below), as a potential solution to the need for more clarity for providers. This can be part of the DCYF language access planning (see #1 above).	
3	Providers should be able to request a hard copy of the Child Care and Early Learning Licensing Guidebook in English, Spanish, Somali, Arabic, Chinese (simplified), and Russian, free of charge for each	Maybe	See #2 above In addition, the Guidebook is regularly updated online and this would need to be incorporated into any planning to provide printed copies. Licensing does have concerns that because the Guidebook is updated routinely, the cost and need to send updated version would be ongoing and/or we may end up with outdated versions being used by Providers.	



classroom in the				
program and				
administration.				

Tra	Transparency and Trust									
	Recommendation	<u>Priority</u>	Accepted	If Yes - How	Legislative	Implementation				
		<u>Items for</u>	<u>(yes/no/maybe)</u>	<u>If No/Maybe - Why</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Timeline</u>				
		ELAC or PS			<u>Required?</u>					
		<u>Work Plan</u>			<u>(RCW</u>					
					and/or					
					<u>Funding)</u>					
4	Schedule quarterly	<mark>PS</mark>		Licensing has a representative at Provider						
	meetings between		Yes	Supports subcommittee, and are open to						
	the Licensing			adding a standing agenda item for Licensing,						
	Division, including			if that is desired by the PS committee.						
	leadership, and									
	Washington State			With new/changing leadership for the						
	providers. These			Licensing Division, exploring more						
	meetings should be			opportunities for listening and response is						
	an opportunity for			desired. Regions are also exploring outreach						
	providers to inform			and support sessions, subject to COVID						
	DCYF on what they			restrictions and lingering impacts.						
	are facing in the									
	child care sector			Other statewide meetings with providers						
	and include a			exist, such as meetings that include Licensing						
	question and			and SEIU or the CCCA.						
	answer session with									
	concrete follow-									



	through on unanswered questions.		Looking forward to continued partnership to bolster the relationships between providers and Licensing.	
5	DCYF should create a call line staffed by licensors, or those with licensing knowledge, to answer providers' questions, including anonymous questions. The call line staff should not just direct providers to their specific licensor, but be able to answer questions consistently with the licensing staff in the field.	Maybe	Licensing has a legal responsibility to monitor and ensure the safety of children, and this responsibility is paramount. Licensing staff are not currently available for this purpose, and there may be legal and safety risk from the anonymity of these calls, which would need to be explored. Licensing is curious what is the underlying need or concern for providers to give these questions to their own assigned Licensor, and can we address that issue with alternative solutions?	
6	Improve thePSLicensing DivisionCPS InvestigationCPS Investigationprocess by creatingas muchtransparency aspossible. Identify astandard for	Maybe	Licensing is open to partnering on how to improve communications with providers, while also complying with current requirements and standards for investigations of abuse and neglect. In summary, a sufficiency screening criteria does exist for all CPS, (Child Welfare and Licensing Division), and these criteria must be met in order for an intake to screen into the	



	allegations, so that		Department for investigation. One of the	
	providers are not		criteria is that there is an allegation that	
	being investigated		"minimally meets the WAC definition of CA/N	
	without merit.		or it is alleged a child's circumstances place	
	Accusations are put		them at imminent risk of serious harm." This	
	into writing and		WAC is 110-30-0030. These allegations are	
	DCYF provides a		documented in writing in the intake taken by	
	redacted copy of		the Intake unit. They are available to the	
	allegations to		provider as a part of disclosure at the	
	providers.		completion of the investigation.	
7	The Provider		Licensing Division CPS does not have the	
	Supports	No	authority to change the outline of the	
	Subcommittee of		investigation process with the Provider	
	ELAC should be a		Supports Subcommittee. CPS (both Child	
	partner in creating		Welfare and Licensing Division) follows policy	
	the outline of the		and procedure dictated by RCW, WAC, and	
	Licensing Division		changes due to legal outcomes. Licensing is	
	CPS Investigation		open to partnering with the Provider	
	process.		Supports Subcommittee on improving	
			communications and support for Providers	
8	Providers should get		during investigation processes.	
ð	-		DCYF is working with our community	
	a report of what		engagement office and across units within	
	feedback influenced		program teams to provide feedback loops often, such as this one.	
	policy decisions,		often, such as this offe.	
	funding requests,		We are committed to providing more	
	and programmatic		information to our advisories on feedback	
	decisions so that		that we are able to act upon, or the reason	
	they can see how		that we are usic to act upon, or the reason	
	their hard work is			



9	affecting DCYF policy. Offer a survey so that providers can review the licensor	Yes	why we haven't yet or can't act upon other guidance or suggestions. Licensing agrees but will need resources or time to devote staff capacity to this.	Maybe	
	after the licensing visit.				
10	DCYF needs to share more information about the Inter- Rater Reliability (IRR) training tool for licensors. IRR should be clearly communicated to providers as optional, and DCYF sends a survey to providers to evaluate IRR visits.	Yes and No	When IRR was a pilot, we did ask for volunteers from the provider community and communicated it as optional. IRR is no longer a pilot and is now being moved into practice, and the IRR visits are now being done at the provider's regular monitor visit, which is not optional. See #9 above regarding the survey.		



Ov	erregulation					
	Recommendation	Priority Items for ELAC or PS Work Plan	<u>Accepted</u> (yes/no/maybe)	<u>If Yes - How</u> <u>If No/Maybe - Why</u>	Legislative Action Required?	Implementation <u>Timeline</u>
11	Licensors should be trained in expectations from other agencies and able to provide information in writing so that they can be a resource to providers who have questions.		No	DCYF does not have the regulatory authority for the requirements or expectations of other agencies, and must refer providers to the entities and agents with the appropriate scope of authority.		
12	Build in a growth period for incoming providers who wish to be licensed, with gradual requirements.		Maybe	The Initial License period(s) is considered by Licensing as a growth period, where the requirements are all still regulated to and that providers are becoming proficient at meeting and maintaining those rules. "First time forgiveness" is a process by which that growth period is honored and non-compliance to any WAC is not noted in the reports on child care check, so that information remains private to the provider and Licensing. Open to partnering on messaging or if this process does not meet an underlying need for this recommendation.		



		CHIEDREN, TOOTH & FAMILLE	
13	Establish clearly defined timeline goals. Assign a licensor as a technical support representative and a current provider as a mentor.	Please see #12 above. Additionally, Licensors provide technical assistance as part of the initial licensing and ongoing monitoring practices.	
14	Create a stipend program for mentor organizations to assist new providers. Basic health and safety needs should be in place upon opening a new center (First Aid/CPR, Food Handler Card, background checks).	 DCYF has limited funding and capacity to contract for mentor organizations to assist new providers, currently that is limited to family home providers only, based on funding stream. It appears this suggestion would like a 'one-stop' approach to the health and safety requirements for center staff. DCYF has a unique opportunity through our PDG grant to explore some of these possibilities, watch for more to come on informing this approach. 	Yes for funding.
15	Professional development and education should be a very gradual requirement unless concerns are raised.	This is in licensing WAC – they have a progressive timeline. WAC 110-300-0100.	



			LIN, TOUTH & FAMILLES	
16	Eliminate	Individ	uals who complete education	
	unnecessary training	that go	es beyond their staff	
	and education	qualific	ations may qualify for an	
	requirements that	educat	ion award.	
	may not be necessary to successfully perform the job and do not align with staff compensation. DCYF should only require minimum safety standards, and identify other avenues for recognizing and incentivizing providers who go above and beyond.	reimbu Quality eligible beyond standau quality Profess in Early opport	chievers provides higher tiered rsement rates and access to Improvement awards to sites that go above and the foundational quality rds of licensing and earn a level 3 or higher. Sional development standards Achievers offer sites the unity to earn points toward a quality level.	
17	DCYF should El eliminate mandates that do not come with funding for providers. The costs for unfunded mandates are passed on to families or absorbed by providers through	AC		



	low wages and few, if					
	any, benefits.					
18	Required trainings			Most required trainings qualify for in-	Yes for	
	should qualify for			service hours (STARS hours), such as	funding re:	
	STARS hours and			Child Care Basics or EQEL for example.	more	
	DCYF should create a				substitutes	
	stipend system for			The ones that do not are ones that		
	substitutes who fill in			are considered health and safety		
	for staff attending			requirements that are outside of		
	training.			DCYF oversight, but required for		
	training.			health and safety requirements (ex:		
				CPR, First Aid, Food Safety).		
				- ,,,,		
				Here is information about the		
				substitute pool		
				https://www.dcyf.wa.gov/services/ea		
				rlylearning-profdev/substitute-pool		
19	Notify providers that	<mark>PS</mark>	No	This is not allowed by Federal		
	a licensing visit will			Requirements. We did ask.		
	take place within 30-			Requirements: We did uski		
	60 days.					
20	Separate violations					
	that are against an					
	individual (employee)					
	from true violations					
	or complaints against					
	the facility.					



21	Violations directly related to a staff person should follow that staff and be viewable by other potential employers.		If a violation by a staff person impacts their background check, they would not be allowed to work in other facilities.	
22	Eliminate the emergency WAC around reporting openings.	Completed	This has been done. Providers can still indicate their openings but it is no longer required. It is a tool they can use to help parents looking for care to know they have openings and for what age groups.	
23	Ensure staff members' full names are not listed in Child Care Check to protect employee privacy.			

Со	Compensation and Provider Supports							
	Recommendation	Priority	Accepted	<u>If Yes - How</u>	<u>Legislative</u>	Implementation		
		<u>Items</u>	(yes/no/maybe)	<u>If No/Maybe - Why</u>	<u>Action</u>	<u>Timeline</u>		
		<u>for</u>			<u>Required?</u>			
		<u>ELAC</u>						
		<u>or PS</u>						
		<u>Work</u>						
		<u>Plan</u>						



	CHILDREN, TOOTH & FAMILLES						
24	Use Quality Improvement funds to support the Early Achievers Review Process and develop a different avenue to demonstrate quality child care to receive subsidy, not Early Achievers as the only option.	PS	No	Needs Based Grants and the 2% tiered reimbursement while participating in Level 2 are meant as resources to support providers in readying for rating. State law requires sites to participate in Early Achievers in order to be eligible to accept state subsidies. RCW 43.216.135	Yes – QI Awards and Early Achievers are in law.		
25	Look at all of the Early Achievers requirements with an equity lens.	ELAC		The Early Achievers revision process brought the latest in-depth review of Early Achievers with an equity lens and re-designed the way providers interact with Early Achievers quality rating cycle. This includes a provider-led approach to sharing about their early care and education environment, as well as opportunities to build quality over time. DCYF has incorporated the use of the Racial Equity and Social Justice framework over the years and used that in the revisions process as well.	More improvements would require funding		
26	To incentivize all providers to accept WCCC subsidies, DCYF should increase subsidy payments to 100%	PS		Funding for rates is determined by the legislature. The legislature currently caps rates at the 85 th percentile. The 85 th percentile means that 85% of all child care slots have a private rate less than or equal to the subsidy rate.	Yes.	DCYF is in process of transitioning rates from a market rate survey to a cost	



-				-
27	now and identify a goal for family participation. To meet the FSKA goal of increasing provider participation in WCCC subsidies, we recommend eliminating participation in Early Achievers as the requirement to serve children on WCCC subsidies.	ELAC	State law requires sites to participate in Early Yes. Early Achievers in order to be eligible to accept state Achievers subsidies. RCW 43.216.135 participation and rating requirements are in law. Image: State in law.	of quality care model. DCYF expects to provide a recommendation to legislature in the 2025 session.
28	We recommend making Early Achievers voluntary. In addition, WCCC rate increases for those who achieve Early Achievers ratings of 3-5	ELAC	State law requires sites to participate in Early Achievers in order to be eligible to accept state subsidies. RCW 43.216.135Yes. Early Achievers participation and ratingThere is no mandate for a rate increase at 3+, only the creation of that level. 43.216.085 (4) (a)-(b)requirements are in law.Tiered Reimbursement is in law 43.216.135 for Levels 3, 4, and 5.Yes. Funding needed if change in	



			Chiebken, Tootha Minister	[]
	should remain, and		Family Home Child Care Tiered Reimbursement	tiered
	fund the rate		rates are negotiated by SEIU 925. FCC providers	reimbursement
	increase for level		do receive a higher tiered reimbursement rate at	rates for level
	3.5, as already		3+	3+.
	mandated.			
29	DCYF also needs to	<mark>ELAC</mark>	DCYF is in process of examining the rate regions	Legislative
	make funding more		as required under <u>RCW 43.216.749</u>	action is
	equitable between			needed to fund
	regions, as			the cost of
	identified in the			quality care
	Cost of Quality			rate model
	Care study			once
	commissioned by			submitted.
	the Legislature			
	through the Child			
	Care Collaborative			
	Task Force.			
30	MERIT needs to be		We have a new training site coming. DCYF will	Yes
	fixed to better		continue to share information in the Workforce	
	support providers.		Growth, Quality, and Recognition Team (former	
			Professional Development Team) newsletter.	
			We are also hosting webinars to share tips on	
			how to access and navigate the new site. You	
			can find more information here:	
			https://www.dcyf.wa.gov/services/earlylearning-	
			profdev/merit/training	
	Increase supports		DCYF is also interested in providing visibility to	Yes
	for providers to		the services that are available for providers, and	
	allow more			



	guidance and		working to get providers support as they become				
	accessibility to		licensed.				
	become licensed.						
			DCYF has some supports in place through both				
			DCYF internal licensing division and some limited				
			contracted capacity.				
32	Make licensing	PS	More partnership requested				
	requirements more						
	achievable by						
	focusing only on						
	the health and						
	safety of children						
	and fund any						
	additional						
	requirements.						
	Should this						
	recommendation						
	be adopted, we						
	further recommend						
	that any new						
	licensing rules that						
	may have a						
	financial impact						
	should also be						
	supported with						
	funding from DCYF.						
33	Develop a new						
55	team within DCYF						
	solely focused on						
	solely locused off						



	unlicensed care,				
	which would				
	include imposing				
	fines/fees for the				
	operation of				
	unlicensed care				
	facilities,				
	notification and				
	education to				
	families (unlicensed				
	care campaigns),				
	scouting unlicensed				
	care, etc.				
34	•	<mark>PS</mark>		Legislative	
	children, regardless			action required	
	of hours provided,				
	in Washington				
	State to be licensed				
	and follow the				
	same rules and				
	regulations of				
	DCYF.				
	If exemptions				
	are allowed, a				
	registry of				
	license-exempt				
	facilities and				
	providers				
	providers				



		CHILDREN, TOUTH & FAMILIES		
	needs to be			
	created,			
	including an			
	application			
	process,			
	Mandated			
	Reporter			
	training,			
	CPR/First Aid			
	training, and			
	background			
	checks. The			
	registry should			
	be updated and			
	maintained			
	regularly and			
	made publicly			
	available.			
35	A Provider Rights		Yes	
55	and Resources		res	
	document should			
	be created by an			
	outside			
	organization			
	utilizing the			
	Liberatory Design			
	process in			
	partnership with			
	DCYF, Provider			
	Derr, Frovider			



	Supports, ELAC,		
	WCCA, represented		
	and non-		
	represented family		
	home providers,		
	FFN, WCFC, etc.		
36	A status update on		Licensing requests further clarity and partnership
50	the Internal Review	Maybe	on this recommendation, as providers were
	Panel process, for	Ividybe	selected for a Community Review Committee.
	which some		The Internal Review Committee is internal to
	providers applied,		DCYF.
	and were selected		
	to join, but have		
	yet to be		
	contacted, should		
	be provided to		
	ELAC, who we		
	recommend		
	determine		
	accountability		
	measures.		
	Quarterly updates		
	should be provided		
	to all providers and		
	ELAC.		
37	The Rule Making	PS	
	Process should		
	have a step-by-step		



guide so that					
providers are made					
aware of the					
process, including					
how to appeal a					
decision or submit					
a petition.					
Recognizing the					
urgency, the step-					
by-step guide					
should be available					
by March 31, 2023.					